MUIR BEACH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

19 Seacape Drive • Muir Beach, CA 94965 • 415 · 388 · 7804 • www.muirbeachcsd.com



January 28, 2016 Meeting of the Board of Directors Information Addendum as of January 27, 2016

| Item: | Topic: Description | Action |
|-------|---|--|
| 01 | Update to Item 13 of the District Manager's Report: | _Proceed with the suggested steps by Weeks Drilling to |
| | This is an informational update on activities to resolve the 2002 Well | identify the problem with the |
| | issues described in the Manager's Report for the 1/28/16 Meeting of | well once their proposal is |
| | the Board of Directors. | submitted and reviewed. |
| | On Wednesday, 1/27/16, I met with Josh Moore, Pump Systems | _ Update the Water Board |
| | Consultant, of Weeks Drilling to review the problems with the | with this plan and continue to |
| | District's 2002 well identified in the report. Accompanying me were Leighton Hills, former District Manager, and Harvey Pearlman, Water | keep them informed. |
| | Manager. Weeks Drilling constructed both the 2002 well and the 2008 | _ Continue the regular |
| | back-up well. We reviewed the sequence of events since 1/8/16 to Josh | monitoring and testing |
| | and our attempts to flush and remove the bacteria that were showing | schedule of the system's |
| | up in the sample test results. | treated water. |
| | We discussed how the well was constructed and the history of the | |
| | District's experience drawing water from this area. Josh described | |
| | some typical scenarios of well failure that might be the cause of the | |
| | problem including breaks which might allow intrusion into the well or | |
| | persistent bacteria which might not have been removed from our initial | |
| | flushing and chlorinating. | |
| | As next steps in identifying the issue, Josh suggested the following: | |
| | 1.) Video the well to see if failures in the pipe wall could be identified. | |
| | This would include removing and inspecting the pump to clean it. | |
| | 2.) If the video did not reveal a problem, the next step would be | |
| | increased development, i.e. a more intensive cleaning and disinfecting | |
| | regime that would include baling and swabbing first and possibly high | |
| | pressure air cleaning. | |
| | 3.) An additional method for further testing would be packing sections | |
| | which would isolate different parts of the well for individual testing to | |
| | see what area is causing intrusion. If a particular section were the | |
| | problem it could then be selectively sealed. | |

4.) Finally, depending on the problem revealed by the above procedures, the well could be relined with a new internal pipe for restoration.

Given that we took the well offline immediately after the initial test results and have been only using the backup well, I explained that we would like to resolve this issue as quickly as possible. I asked Josh to supply a proposal to video the well and to put us in the queue to get the work done ASAP. I hope to receive his proposal this week and proceed.

I will update the Water Board with this plan and keep them informed. The backup well continues to supply the District's needs and our regular monitoring and testing regime persists.

*Please note: For reference, these were the test results that we reported to the Water Board:

Initial Tests that indicated contamination:

Date Collected: 1/7/2016 Sample Point: 2002 Well

Total Coliforms: 387.3 per 100 ML Water Escherichia Coli: 8.6 per 100 ML Water

Date Collected: 1/7/2016

Sample Point: System Water (Treated)

Total Coliforms: Absent Escherichia Coli: Absent

"Sample meets bacteriological standards for drinking water"

Tests after flushing of 2002 well:

Date Collected: 1/11/2016, 12:15pm

Sample Point: 2002 Well

Total Coliforms: 111.9 per 100 ML Water Escherichia Coli: <1 per 100 ML Water

Date Collected: 1/11/2016, 12:30pm

Sample Point: 2002 Well

Total Coliforms: 90.8per 100 ML Water Escherichia Coli: 1.0 per 100 ML Water

Date Collected: 1/11/2016, 12:40pm

Sample Point: 2002 Well

Total Coliforms: 81.3 per 100 ML Water Escherichia Coli: <1 per 100 ML Water

Continued on Next Page...

Reference Tests of 2008 well and tanks:

Date Collected: 1/11/2016, 12:40pm

Sample Point: 2008 Well

Total Coliforms: <1 per 100 ML Water Escherichia Coli: <1 per 100 ML Water

Date Collected: 1/11/2016 Sample Point: **Overlook Tank** Total Coliforms: Absent Escherichia Coli: Absent

"Sample meets bacteriological standards for drinking water"

Date Collected: 1/11/2016 Sample Point: **Lower Tank** Total Coliforms: Absent Escherichia Coli: Absent

"Sample meets bacteriological standards for drinking water"

Tests taken after direct chlorination of 2002 well:

Date Collected: 1/19/2016 Sample Point: 2002 Well

Total Coliforms: 70.8 per 100 ML Water Escherichia Coli: 3.1 per 100 ML Water

Reference Test of creek water:

Date Collected: 1/19/2016 Sample Point: Creek Water

Total Coliforms: >2419.6 per 100 ML Water Escherichia Coli: 204.6 per 100 ML Water