1 2 3	MUIR BEACH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT Minutes of the Board of Directors' meeting held on Thursday, May 30, 2018	
4 5	OFFICIAL MINUTES ONLY UPON APPROVAL	
6 7 8 9	Prior to approval of these minutes by the Board of Directors in a public meeting, these minutes are draft only and subject to change. Upon approval by the Board, these minutes become the Official Minutes of the meeting.	;
1 2 3 4 5	Item 1: Call to Order Victoria Hamilton-Rivers called the meeting of the Muir Beach Community Services District Board of Directors to order at approximately 7:05pm. She noted that the minutes would be taken by Muir Beach resident Ernst Karel.	
6 7 8 9	Directors present: Gary Friedman, Victoria Hamilton-Rivers, Peter Lambert, Steve Shaffer, Leighton Hills Mary Halley, District Manager Leslie Riehl, Treasurer Chris Gove, Fire Chief	
22 23 24 25 26	Item 2: Approval of Agenda MOTION: To approve the agenda Moved: Shaffer, seconded by Hills Vote: AYES: Unanimous	
.7 !8 !9	Item 3: Consent Calendar A. Draft Minutes of the Regular Meeting held 4/25/18	
60 61 62 63	MOTION: To approve the consent calendar Moved: Hills, seconded by Shaffer Vote: AYES: Unanimous	
5 6	Item 4: Items Removed from Consent Calendar None.	
67 68 69 0 11 -2	Item 5: Treasurer's Report Treasurer Riehl noted that everything is on track, that there are no extraordinary expenses to report, and that the CSD is cutting back on significant expenses, and that she and Halley don't see any problems or issues with the budget, looking forward to their upcoming budget meeting.	t
4 5	Open to questions. No questions from the public. Hamilton-Rivers asks why we're doi so well at saving money. Riehl says it's not miraculous, but that expenses have been	nç

lowered when possible, for example in water treatment and even with the minutestaking. Everything is coming in on target. Hamilton-Rivers concludes that we're just being more efficient.

Item 6: District Manager Report

Halley highlights some areas from her report: water modification permit completed and submitted; Banducci contact tank installation project started; stairs between Sunset and Pacific repaired; Cuco starting Pacific Way repair project; approval for \$8850 strategic planning grant on water analysis

Shaffer adds that the reason Cuco hasn't finished the work is that while they thought it could be patched using cold patch, when they opened it up they realized it was bigger than expected and would need hot patch, which caused a delay – but it'll still be a one-day job. It'll be fine.

Hamilton-Rivers adds that Matt is willing to join group about Sunset Way progress but not before June, at which point they'll get going on that.

Paul Jeschke notes that the report on fixing steps didn't include the steps at Seacape and Starbuck, and wonders if that's still happening. Shaffer says it is still happening but will need a different worker, and will happen in June. Agreement that it is a hazard and needs to be finished.

Item 7: Fire Department Report

Will circle back to this when Chris Gove arrives.

Item 8: Policy Review of General Overhead Allocation

Hills provides a review. When he became DM in 2005 he inherited a board policy that was used to allocate largest component of overhead, namely DM salary. That percentage was 40% to water, split between water capital improvement and water operations; 25% to general admin, 15% to fire, 10% to recreation, 10% to roads. The District's financial statements through 2015 have been prepared using these allocations. For 2016 and 2017, other allocation methods were used. Some members of the audit committee have proposed allocating overhead using percentages listed in budgets, and expanding the components of overhead beyond that of DM salary. Hills reported that those budget allocations were intended to be projections and not to be used to change a long standing Board policy. The audit committee reached an impasse, and now brings this to the board. Hills suggests we keep the allocation policy in place when he was DM, where the only component that was allocated was the DM salary. This might require some corrections to some financial statements, but it's intended that when statements are audited, that they're first reviewed for consistency with policies. They've never been reviewed until just now, and found some changes that need to be made.

A lengthy discussion ensued. 1 2 3 4 5 MOTION: To accept the allocations that were in place through 2009, and use 6 them through the remaining audits through 2017 7 admin 25% 8 fire 15% 9 recreation 10% 10 roads 10% 11 water capital improvement fee 20% 12 water operations 20% 13 Hills, seconded by Hamilton-Rivers 14 Moved: AYES: Hills, Shaffer, Hamilton-Rivers Vote: 15 NOES: Friedman, Lambert 16 17 18 Item 8 (b): Future Budgets and Audits 19 20 Riehl on the future: Within the budget we have developed, the board last month approved separating CSD 21 into two reporting entities: government services and water. Process now of acquiring 22 bank accounts and separating monies. Riehl believes that the CSD operates road rec 23 and fire as a family, not meant to operate as a profit center, so she recommends there 24 are no individual allocations from general into fire, roads, recreation. None are profit 25 26 centers. Water on the other hand is appropriate – general has expenses such as Mary and other accounts that are difficult to separate when a bill comes in. Over the past year 27 we have cleaned up the accounts; we don't have many bills. What we can't do is 28 separate nine expense categories (DM salary, insurance, workers' comp, office 29 supplies, website, audit, board meeting expenses, and payroll taxes, payroll services). 30 Riehl proposes that these overhead expenses are divided in half, and handed half to 31 water. Why 50%? 32 33 easy to do, good and clean tired of allocating at 40 etc. 34 Proposal: 50% of overhead be allocated to water, and there be no allocations to fire, 35 36 roads, or rec, within general. 37 Shaffer says that rec is a profit center. Shaffer asks about the extra 10%, and if this 38 39

could create an extra burden on the community.

Board members debate what will lead to clearer decision-making, and what will lead to clearer understanding of what we're spending and ultimately to greater savings.

42 43 44

45

40

41

Motion 1: Shall 40% or 50% of overhead be allocated to water? Moved: Hamilton-Rivers, seconded by Hills
Vote: 40%: Shaffer, Hills, Hamilton-Rivers
50%: Friedman
Abstain: Lambert

Abstain: Lam 40% passes

Motion 2: Adopting the 60% with no allocation, i.e. do not allocate the 60% of

overhead not given to water to fire, rec, or roads.

Moved: Hamilton-Rivers, second Hills

Vote: AYE: Hamilton Rivers, Lambert, Friedman

NOES: Hills, Shaffer

Item 8 (c): Role of the Audit Committee

Hills would like to first confine the audit committee to audits, rather than general finances, and second, that audit questions are now resolved and the committee can be concluded. Hamilton-Rivers feels that no director should be involved in the committee. Hills states he should review the draft audits primarily to confirm that the fixed assets and depreciation are listed correctly. Lambert sees an ongoing role for the audit committee, while Hills says that the next step is to bring the audits to the board for approval.

MOTION: Conclude the audit committee
Moved: Hills, seconded by Hamilton-Rivers
Vote: AYES: Hills, Shaffer, Hamilton-Rivers

NOES: Friedman, Lambert

Item 7: Fire Department Report

Chris Gove has no report; numbers not in from BBQ. Halley details available grants towards the siren project:

Gove says that the total cost would be about \$60,000 to have emergency siren over at GGF, but that there are many practical issues yet to be resolved. Discussion continues about whether the community actually wants this, with agreement that grant applications can continue in the meantime without obligation.

MOTION: Apply for the money without obligation and with the clear

understanding that we need to have this discussion next month to

decide whether we want it.

Moved: Shaffer, seconded Hills
Vote: AYES: Unanimous

Motion is carried.

1

Item 10: Dog Park 2 3 4

Anne Jeschke continues presentation, proposing that the CSD approve formation of dog friendly park with benches, fences, combination locks, with the expectation that people who use the park would maintain it, in one of three possible sites. Public discussion continued around issues of pros and cons of the three proposed locations, cost, maintenance, noise, and ADA accessibility.

7 8 9

10

11

12

5

6

MOTION: Authorize Ann to proceed with experimenting with the Community

Center site as a dog park, without modifications, as long as it

doesn't cost any money.

Hills, seconded Shaffer Moved: **AYES: Unanimous** Vote:

13 14

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

Item 9: Draft Budget FY 2018-19

Halley would like the board to make a decision regarding operating reserves, which are currently set at 55% of both annual and general water operating expense. It was agreed that within the next month, before the next meeting, board members schedule time, two at a time, with Mary and Leslie to go over draft budget.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

Item 11: MBCC Rental Deposits

Discussion with Laurie Piel and Tayeko Kaufman around the idea that there does not seem to be sufficient leverage to convince people using the CC to follow rules, especially around noise levels, to clean up, etc. For noise levels, a specific decibel level should be agreed upon.

30 31 32

33

34

MOTION: Raise deposit to the same level, \$750, for everyone, whether

resident, friend, and so on.

Hills, seconded Hamilton-Rivers Moved:

AYES: Unanimous Vote:

35 36 37

38 39

40

41

Item 12: Security Cameras at CC

Laurie Piel requests cameras that could be monitored. Hills says that there is a camera at the pump house, but that it doesn't have to be looked at unless there is an incident. It turns out that Piel is interested in being alerted if something were amiss, and it turns out that's not really what they're good for. Many object to the presence of additional cameras. It's agreed that security cameras are not the right solution.

42 43 44

45

<u>Item 13: MBCC Facilities Manager</u>

- Laurie Piel describes the situation that things are left in a mess sometimes. How to make sure things are spic and span for next event?
- Hills is reluctant to hire a new position to carry over for what a facilitator is already being paid to do. Harvey Pearlman comments that even if a facilitator makes sure the CC is
- clean, that over intervening days the CC is used and can be left in disarray. Hamilton-
- 6 Rivers suggests considering this issue again at a future meeting and moving on.

Item 14: Easement Repair and Bikes

Barbara Schoenfeld is thankful for ongoing repairs to trails, staircases, and so on. But the Seacape Easement has not been upgraded; it is degraded from bikes. She would prefer there were no bikers. Shaffer counters that there are many community members who are in favor of trails being open to bikes.

Friedman has initiated conversation with Marin Bicycle Coalition to deal with such topics, and invites Schoenfeld to be part of that ongoing conversation. They will report back to the board following that.

Item 15: Public Open Time

General agreement that the new microphones are great, and that we may even want more of them.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 9:50 pm.