#### MUIR BEACH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT 1 2 Minutes of the Board of Directors' meeting held on 3 Wednesday, Wednesday, January 27, 2021 4 5 6 OFFICIAL MINUTES ONLY UPON APPROVAL 7 8 Prior to approval of these minutes by the Board of Directors in a public meeting, these minutes 9 are draft only and subject to change. Upon approval by the Board, these minutes become the 10 Official Minutes of the meeting. 11 12 13 **Item 1: Closed Session** 14 The California Government Code provides that certain matters such as litigation, personnel 15 matters, and real estate negotiations may be conducted in closed session. The matters shall be identified as set out below. After any closed session, the legislative body shall reconvene in 16 17 open session prior to adjournment and make disclosure of action taken during the closed 18 session – authorized by CA Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(2) and (e)(1). The CSD has 19 determined under matters of litigation, a point has been reached where, in the opinion of the 20 legislative body of the local agency on the advice of its legal counsel, based on existing facts 21 and circumstances, there is a significant exposure to litigation against the local agency. 22 23 24 Item 2: Call to Order 25 Steve Shaffer called the meeting of the Muir Beach Community Services District Board of 26 Directors to order at approximately 7:02 pm. 27 28 Directors present: Steve Shaffer (Board President), Peter Lambert (Board Vice-29 President), Leighton Hills (Director), Paul Jeschke (Director), 30 David Taylor (Director) 31 32 Staff present: Mary Halley, District Manager 33 Chris Gove, Fire Chief 34 Ernst Karel, Meeting Secretary 35 36 37 Item 3: Reconvene in Open Session 38 The board has voted to release attorney-client privilege so that the Lands and Easements 39 Management Committee can hold an open meeting to discuss the legal counsel received to 40 date. 41 42 43 Item 4: Approval of Agenda 44 Item(s) not included in this agenda: None 45

To approve the agenda

**AYES: Unanimous** 

Lambert, seconded by Hills

46

47

48

49 50 MOTION:

Moved:

Vote:

# Item 5: Discussion of Election of Board Officers

2 Board will discuss election of Board President and Vice President.

At present, Steve Shaffer is Board President and Peter Lambert is Board Vice President. Due to the unexpected onset of the Covid-19 pandemic last year, Board officers were not voted in until late July 2020. Now having only served a partial term as Board officers, both Steve and Peter are willing to remain in their positions until the end December 2021. If that is acceptable to the Board, no further action is needed, or alternatively, election of officers can take place at this meeting.

The board decides to put this off for one year, until January 2022.

# **Item 6: Consent Calendar**

- 1. Approval of Draft Minutes from Regular Board Meeting of 12/9/20.
- 2. Approval of Quarterly Financial Reports dated 12/31/20.

Correction to minutes: p. 3 line 40, change "community" to "committee".

MOTION: To approve the consent calendar, with agreed-upon correction to the

minutes

Moved: Hills, seconded by Lambert

Vote: AYES: Unanimous

### <u>Item 7: Items Removed from Consent Calendar</u>

No items removed.

#### **Item 8: District Manager Report** [audio recording: 6:50]

District Manager Mary Halley will present brief highlights from her DM report, a written document which (as always) is included with the monthly meeting packet available online at <a href="http://www.muirbeachcsd.com/meetings">http://www.muirbeachcsd.com/meetings</a>.

Halley was successful pursuing the insurance claim from the car going into Redwood Creek. Halley also goes over budget highlights; in general, the budget is looking right on track at this point halfway through the year.

## <u>Item 9: MBCSD Lands and Easements Management Committee (update)</u>

[audio recording: 9:50]

Committee Chair Mary Halley and fellow committee members give an update on the legal research and progress towards developing land and easement draft-policies.

At the last meeting, the Committee was extended to the end of January 2021, so at the end of the month it will either finish or ask for another extension. One board approved non-public meeting was held on January 14 where the committee discussed any legal issues around the Board review and release of the legal memos, as well as, what's left for the committee to finish and they felt that finishing the Lands Policy draft would be good. As for the budget, it has \$330 left and it is within budget.

 A. The Board may be asked to approve additional funding (if necessary) to have outside legal Counsel separate out 'sensitive' issues from portions of the legal findings to be released to the public.

MOTION:

To approve an additional \$1500 for the legal budget of the Lands and

**Easements Management Committee** 

Moved: Hills, seconded Taylor Vote: AYES: Unanimous

B. Would the LEMC be willing to take up Road policy being as it is inter-related to easements?

The LEMC did not discuss this at its last meeting. They at least need to know whether we're extending the LEMC past Jan 31 of this month.

MOTION: To extend the Lands and Easements Management Committee until June

30, 2021, and to authorize it to take up Road policies.

Moved: Jeschke, seconded Lambert

Vote: AYES: Unanimous

# <u>Item 10: MERA Rental agreement</u> [audio recording 18:35]

Back on December 12, 2018, Ernest Klock, Civil Engineer for Marin County Public Works Department, gave a presentation of the MERA (Marin Emergency Radio Authority) project at the MBCSD Board meeting. The new inter-County radio network was proposing to incorporate the Muir Beach Upper Tank property as a base for one of the radio towers. Based on those plans, a Coastal Permit has been secured along with a proposed draft-rental agreement. The Board is being asked to approve the proposed radio tower rental agreement between MERA and the MBCSD at the Upper Water Tank property. (Please see attached 1) MERA-Muir Beach Proposed Site Agreement and 2) MERA Coastal Permit

and 3) Full Coastal Permit Staff Report at the following link below:

https://marin.granicus.com/MetaViewer.php?view\_id=46&clip\_id=9880&meta\_id=1046157)

Shaffer reports that the negotiated agreement is for \$2,500/month rental income for ten years, indexed at 4% per year, with two additional 10- year extension options. Approval at the January meeting is pending in order to commence, which Hills reports took place this afternoon, and that it was indeed approved.

A neighbor questions whether there has been enough public notice about the proposed tower, with ensuing discussion about the number of public meetings both at the community and County level that have taken place, the many benefits to the MBVFD, and therefore to the overall safety and well-being of the community, and the cooperation of nearby neighbors.

MOTION: That the Board authorize Halley to approve the agreement as discussed,

Moved: Hills, seconded Taylor Vote: AYES: Unanimous

# <u>Item 11: Prop 68 Per Capita Grant Resolution No. 2021-1</u> [audio: 31:54]

The MBCSD has been approved to apply for a Prop 68 Per Capita grant of \$177,952 to be used for recreational capital outlays or acquisitions. Project applications have various requirements

among which is that the Board approve a resolution agreeing to the terms of the grant. Project applications are per 'location' when evaluating the various possibilities. The grant requires 20% matching funds (unless a district serves a low-income population) which has been donated by the community via a fundraising drive, plus there is a maximum of 50% pre-funding, and the placement of a 30-year deed restriction on title fee properties (were the funds are to be used) to make sure the properties remain in recreational use, among other conditions to be discussed.

DM Halley goes over the details of the conditions of the grant and the procedures for its administration. The proposal is to use the grant for construction of public areas around the Community Center, the original plans for which are currently posted in the window of the Community Center. It involves work on the parking area above including retaining walls, possibly for diagonal parking, and the conveyor for moving goods up and down from the street.

Michael Moore and Steve Schaffer are the community chairs for this, and once the proposal is together, they are thinking of holding a special community meeting to discuss project details; it's currently in the planning phase of gathering permitting issues, specifications, cost, and so on. (See attached Resolution 2021-1 Authorization for Prop 68 Per Capita Grant)

MOTION: To approve Resolution 2021-1 Approving Application(s) for Prop 68 Per

Capita Grant Funds.

Moved: Taylor, seconded Lambert

Vote: UNANIMOUS

# <u>Item 12: Lower Tank Property – Protective fencing</u> [audio recording 49:13]

There has been a history of unauthorized parking of various types of vehicles and equipment on the CSD Lower Water Tank property for which treads and tires can tear up the moisture laden soils leaving it vulnerable to damage and invasive weed growth. Following discussions at the 12/8/20 Board meeting, the Board instructed the District Manager to work with the property owner at 66 Starbuck to come back with a solution. The current proposal is to install a section of open split-rail fencing placed on the two sides of the property that borders the 66 Starbuck driveway and the lower Starbuck extension roadway with a modified access gate at the Lower Tank driveway. The owner of 66 Starbuck is willing to pay for installation to ensure quality of work and materials.

MOTION: That the CSD builds the fence and accepts Kidd's offer to pay for it.

Moved: Jeschke, seconded Taylor

Vote: UNANIMOUS

### Item 13: Public Open Time [audio recording 58:08]

Marilyn Laatsch gives a shoutout to Dan Fitzpatrick for removing the \$10/month fee from Recology for an off-road fee (for the newly paved Sunset). Those on auto-pay should be reimbursed the \$30/billing cycle. The story is on Nextdoor.

Tayeko Kaufman asks for clarification about what's happening with the culvert easement behind their property. She received an email from DM Halley which she understood to say that the CSD does not have authority over the drainage ditch, or even the right to go onto the property, and she would like clarification on that, with reference also to her recollection that when FEMA money was used to maintain the ditch, there was also a promise from then-board members Maury Ostroff and Steve Shaffer that it would continue to be maintained. Are property owners

now on their own? Hills responds that the topic is currently under review by the Lands and Easements Committee, which has received additional advice that's different from what they had previously. While we're still trying to come up with a solution so that the drainage ditch is wellmaintained, Hills says, what DM Halley said is correct: that it's not a CSD easement that we can enter, and we have been told by the landowners not to enter. We agree that it's a potentially dangerous situation and a high priority. Of many options being explored, one is that it could potentially be designated as a nuisance, in which case the CSD would have additional rights, but those considerations are still in progress. Kaufman would like to add to the public record with photographs and video documenting obstructions, for example of stones placed to block 10 the opening of flaps that would allow water to drain, which create a very dangerous situation, to be entered into future CSD meeting minutes. Everyone is invited to submit photos and other 12 such documentation, which could be very helpful. Halley comments that she had seen the flaps 13 down with rocks in front, and contacted the property owners at 25 Ahab, and subsequently saw 14 that the flaps were up and the ditch cleared, so there is potential for cooperation there on what 15 is a very serious issue.

16 17 18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

# Item 14: Recognitions & Board Member Items

None.

20 21

19

### Item 15: Adjournment

22 23

> Next Agenda Meeting Date: Wednesday, February 17, 2021 Next Board Meeting Date: Wednesday, February 24, 2021

24 25 26

There being no further business to come before the board, the meeting is adjourned.

27 28 29

Meeting adjourned at 20:10.

30 31

### Addendum

32 33 34

Fire report from Fire Chief Gove (whose reports are normally quarterly) for 1/27/2021:

35 36

We submitted the plans for the coastal commission this week, so fingers crossed we are on our way to a new firehouse.

37 38 Friday is the deadline for a Grant we are pursuing along with Bolinas, Stinson, Inverness and 39 Nicasio for new SCBA's (self-contained breathing apparatus) for the departments. Our share is 40 just under \$90K of which we are responsible for 5% or approx. \$4,600.

41 Our garage door opener at the firehouse finally gave up the ghost, so we had a new one 42 installed with a battery backup.

- 43 David Taylor, Leighton Hills, and I completed flow testing of a significant percentage of the fire
- 44 hydrants to comply with the ongoing recertification for ISO which is a metric used by insurance
- 45 companies to set rates. We see no issue with maintaining our current rating of 3.
- We continue to test every two weeks for COVID-19. And all the firefighters are now vaccinated 46
- 47 with our second dose. We have also resumed in-person training.
- 48 I have reviewed the proposed MERA agreement and urge the board to adopt it.
- 49 Last year we responded to 62 incidents which is slightly below average.
- 50 The MWPA meets again on Friday to hash out the details of fuel reduction in our area.
- 51 Thank you for allowing us to be of service.