1 MUIR BEACH COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT 2 Minutes of the Board of Directors' meeting held on 3 Wednesday, September 25, 2019 4 5 OFFICIAL MINUTES ONLY UPON APPROVAL 6 7 Prior to approval of these minutes by the Board of Directors in a public meeting, these 8 minutes are draft only and subject to change. Upon approval by the Board, these 9 minutes become the Official Minutes of the meeting. 10 11 12 Item 1: Call to Order 13 14 Leighton Hills called the meeting of the Muir Beach Community Services District Board 15 of Directors to order at approximately 7:10 pm. 16 17 Directors present: Gary Friedman, Victoria Hamilton-Rivers, Leighton Hills, Peter Lambert, Steve Shaffer 18 19 20 Staff present: Mary Halley, District Manager Chris Gove, Fire Chief 21 22 23 24 Item 2: Approval of Agenda 25 26 There were no changes to the agenda, and so no motion is needed to approve no 27 changes. 28 MOTION: To approve the consent calendar 29 Moved: Shaffer, seconded by Hamilton-Rivers 30 Vote: **AYES: Unanimous** 31 32 33 **Item 3: Consent Calendar** 34 35 Approval of Draft Minutes from Regular Board Meeting of 8/21/19. 36 37 MOTION: To approve the consent calendar Moved: Hamilton-Rivers, seconded by Friedman 38 39 **AYES: Unanimous** Vote: 40 41 42 Item 4: Items Removed from Consent Calendar 43 44 No items removed.

45 46

### <u>Item 5: Marin County Fire Joint Powers Authority (JPA)</u>

Marin County Fire Battalion Chief Christie Neill with Chief Jason Weber, who spoke at the last meeting, return to discuss updates to a proposed Joint Powers Authority (JPA) that would be funded by a November 2020 tax initiative. A lot has happened in the last month. They begin by showing a video which is currently at <a href="https://www.marinwildfire.org">https://www.marinwildfire.org</a>.

Chief Weber and Chief Neill give a presentation which is available via slides online at <a href="https://www.marinwildfire.org/references">https://www.marinwildfire.org/references</a>; click on "Follow-Up MWPA JPA <a href="Presentation">Presentation</a>." They emphasize that transparency is an important part of this process, and intend this website to be a valuable resource to that end.

Hamilton-Rivers asks if there are plans for controlled burns. Weber replies that there certainly are. Hills says that at \$0.10/sq ft, the typical annual tax here would be in the area of \$150-200 per year. Square footage would be determined by whatever is on the assessor's role. Lambert asks how they got to the \$21 million target. Weber goes over the sources of data that they reviewed and aggregated to get to that figure. Since last month, they've done the tax study and determined that the proposed tax would result in \$21 million annually. Mike Moore asks about how this is different from the bill from the state for \$150 annually. Weber responds that that tax from the state has now been eliminated. Hamilton-Rivers asks about the 19 members of the board; one of those would be from Muir Beach. That could be a CSD manager, or the fire chief.

Discussion continues about various details; the full discussion is at 21:00-44:00 on the recording.

MOTION: That the MBCSD is to participate in, adopt, and support the JPA

resolution as presented to us at this meeting.

Moved: Hamilton-Rivers, seconded by Shaffer

31 Vote:

Vote: AYES: Unanimous

Weber and Neill will be coming back next month for a resolution with specific tax language, which again will be on the website above, so that will be added to the consent calendar for next month's meeting.

# **Item 6: Fire Department Report**

Chief Gove has no report.

#### **Item 7: District Manager Report**

Halley goes over the highlights from her District Manager Report, a written document which (as always) is included with the monthly meeting packet available online at

http://www.muirbeachcsd.com/meetings. Note that the NPS biologist has discovered the presence of the an invasive New Zealand Mud Snail in the creek, and anyone going in the creek has to do a decontamination protocol, so try to stay out of the creek. On trails, with the Sunset project there are more requests for maintenance on trails, particularly Ahab-Sunset and Sunset-Pacific, and so she is requesting to extend the budget for these items.

1 2

MOTION: That we make an additional \$5,000 available for Halley to use in

case she needs it for the trails.

Moved: Shaffer, seconded by Lambert

Vote: AYES: Unanimous

#### Item 8: Greene Lane Water Main Project Update

At the March 28, 2018 Board meeting, a motion was passed to allocate \$10,000 to upgrade the water main and install a fire hydrant at the end of Greene Lane. This followed a discussion where the CSD agreed it would pay for materials up to \$10,000. and the Greene Lane residents would provide for the installation. David Taylor, a resident on Greene Lane, has been working on proposals to complete the project and discusses. Taylor explains that there are 16 people there, the road is 300 feet long, there's a hydrant at the end of it, so some of the houses are more than 400 feet from the fire hydrant. In 2013 there had been a bid to put in a new water main and fire hydrant, related to a proposed new fire station nearby that didn't pan out, but the bid was too expensive at \$54,000. As they considered now how to complete the project with the allocated \$10,000, it was clear they didn't have the expertise. The residents have approached JML, the crew currently doing the Sunset Way project, and received a bid of \$18,500, with materials list for \$8,600, with a total of about \$27,000, so still short about \$17,000. They could do the job in 2-3 days, and it seems like a good opportunity since they're here and materials are already here. The residents are looking for ways to lower the costs. They'd like to see the CSD fund this project.

 Hills adds that he's since talked to JML and they've reduced the estimate from \$18,500 to \$16,750, or by about 10%. Richard Kohn says that it was clear from the agenda that the board had agreed to cover materials and that the residents would pay for labor. He suggests that what's being proposed is a radical departure from what was being proposed a year ago. That should be the end of it, but there's a financing question regarding a proposed loan to the CSD by the residents which would have to be repaid with interest, and whether that is even legal. He raises further questions around discrepancies in the materials provided. Hills replies that interest is off the table, so that they would put up the money and the CSD would pay them back within the next 10 years. Shaffer adds that this would simply be a way to do the project expediently. He and Hills and Hamilton-Rivers suggest that given the Sunset Way project, it would be fair for the CSD also to fund this project. Kohn is satisfied with the interest being taken off the table.

 Hamilton-Rivers asks why we have one set of rules for Greene Lane and another set for everyone else. Hills replies that we're trying to manage a scarce resource and trying to get the biggest bang for the buck, so that the people on the most populated street are prioritized, for fire protection and so on. Lambert agrees with the idea of expedience.

1 2

MOTION: That the CSD approve paying \$10,000, to be combined with a loan

from the residents of Greene Lane for the balance of the work, and that we authorize the current contractors to do the work, and that the loan will be repaid within ten years without interest. It is further stipulated that this is simply a water main issue, and does not imply that the CSD assumes any responsibility for maintaining the road.

Moved: Shaffer, seconded by Lambert

Vote: AYES: Unanimous

### <u>Item 9: Sunset to Pacific Way Pathway (below Community Center)</u>

Hills provides background that the CSD is trying to work on a comprehensive set of policies for the roads and easements which is not ready yet, but a lot of that would get covered here. So the CSD may not be ready to decide anything on this specific issue yet but is glad to hear the proposal.

 Steven Moss, newly a property owners of 75 Sunset Way after purchasing from Margaret Keys, feels that the house is quite exposed and easy to see into. He presents his proposal which includes landscaping the area between the two roads (Sunset Way and Pacific Way), predominately by planting "privacy" hedges, as well as other vegetation. They have conducted a survey that finds that the existing fence alongside 85 Sunset Way (Ming's and Shere's) there encroaches onto CSD land, and suggest moving that fence in order to allow for sufficient space for further landscaping. They would like to plant native, drought-resistant plants, and are concered about maintenance, noting that there are currently piles of debris on the CSD side. Action items include discussion of privately financed vegetation on the right-of-way, potential shared maintenance costs of new plantings, and enforcement/oversight of right-of-way boundaries.

Hamilton-Rivers notes that we do need the policy in place in order to address this. Hills notes that he had a similar issue where his (now grown) daughter's window was along the path, and ended up putting up a fence along the property line. Generally the CSD has allowed people to plant hedges between their homes and the street, but for paths along the side, that's more like parkland, and we've gotten into this with Seacape Park, where it's a 60-foot wide park, and without control, people were eventually landscaping 35 feet into it. There was a period where the CSD would approve landscaping, but finally decided that it was not good for landowners to landscape into CSD property at all, since it often ended up more to the landowner's advantage than the community's. So stuff on the side is going to be more heavily regulated but we'd still like to have things planted there. Shaffer adds that the CSD does not generally use surveys that property

owners provide in order to make another take down their fence, and wonders about that part of it. Moss replies that because Ming's fence encroaches on CSD property, it has implications for what he can do. The community does have a stake in encroachments. Shaffer notes that the fence has been there for 25 years, and that it wouldn't be approved today. Moss replies that the fact that things have been informally grandfathered in should have no bearing on what happens now. If they were to simply put up a fence, it would create a wooden tunnel. The discussion continues about what should be done concerning past encroachments on CSD property. The discussion will be continued at the next meeting.

1 2

#### **Item 10: Sunset Way Project Update**

Hills has been providing daily updates by email. The time has come that the contractor would like to have a list of which residents would like to have additional areas paved. The pricing isn't finalized but will likely be \$6/square foot (inclusive). Hamilton-Rivers asks what our policy is on parking turnouts. Hills replies that anything not on the plan is up to the residents to pay for, but that this does not give them rights to parking there. If a parking space is within their property, which many are, there can be restrictions; but in other places, it's conventional that nearby residents park there, but cannot be enforced because it's actually public property. So just because you pay it, you don't own it, but you will often benefit from it. Shaffer notes that putting up a sign saying 'private road, for the use of residents and guests only' is to run a bluff, in that it's not true and it's not legal to do, although it does work in terms of keeping people away. Discussion continues about how to be creative with labels in order to prevent parking in the turnaround area, such as labeling it as a turnaround or a fire lane.

### **Item 11: Cove Lane Culvert**

The culvert at the bottom of Cove Lane incurred storm damage several years back and resident Pete Defremery has since been trying to keep it somewhat patched together these past rainy seasons to prevent further damage to the culvert and surrounding property. It has now been pointed out that there is a potential for significantly increased road storm drainage from the newly resurfaced Sunset Way and from the newly installed plastic storm drains for which the damaged culvert may not be able to accommodate the increased flow in its current condition.

 Hills reports that Halley in a prior meeting had investigated repairing and lining the culvert, and the cost for that was \$26,000 to put in the liner, and \$21,000 in addition to repair the culvert first, for a total of \$47,000 for an 18" culvert. Our civil engineer says that's undersized. In new discussions with JML, JML is willing to put in a new culvert of the recommended 24" size for a total \$29,750, which would solve everything well into the future. Discussion continues about the various details about exactly where the culvert would lie.

 Hills underscores the huge amount of water which can come down that way in rainy conditions – tens of thousands of gallons per minute – which will only increase following the new drainage work on Sunset.

1 2

Hamilton-Rivers asks how we can do this and also need to borrow money for Greene Lane; the answer as above is that this is a higher priority for serving the greater number of users. Furthermore, perhaps the CSD can pay back the Greene Lane residents sooner. Further discussion continues about details concerning the path of the culvert, water flow, and preserving trees. There is some urgency in doing this before the rains.

Halley notes that with the Sunset Way project, we would need additional funding for this. Hills responds that we would use the line of credit for this.

MOTION: That the CSD engage JML to put in the 24" line on the right side of

the path for \$29,750. Furthermore, the CSD will consult arborist Jess Devoss to ensure that work will support existing trees.

Moved: Shaffer, seconded by Lambert

Vote: AYES: Unanimous

### **Item 11: Public Open Time**

Kathy Sward was quoted in a letter from Kasey Corbit which attached to the 8/21/2019 meeting agenda for item 11. Kathy Sward was quoted several times in that letter and would like to state her corrections. She went over them in the meeting and subsequently provided this in writing for the minutes:

 In the fourth paragraph of the letter, second sentence "She admitted to having hearing loss..." I said that she most likely misunderstood me as I said when the people are all talking and music is loud, it's hard to hear. This was in reference to the previous meeting when I said I checked my phone from time to time to see if I have any texts, and had missed Corbett's text that the music was unbearable (my word).

Same paragraph, last sentence, "She also commented on the number of people walking through our yard." I probably commented that I understood about the number of people walking through their yard being a problem, but I had never seen people from an event I was facilitating, going over the wall and up through the yard.

6<sup>th</sup> paragraph, "...so long as the music is off by 10p.m., it can be at any volume..." it's not my understanding that the Board gave us that message, as I'm told to go next door and knock to ask if the sound is o.k. from their place.

9<sup>th</sup> paragraph, it says "You all should have a plan for how to ameliorate it." and we do, which as stated above, is to check with them, and Amy (and the

Board?)also changed the rule that music ends at 10:00pm instead of 11:00pm (stated in the codes they copied in the letter.) We also attempt to keep the sliding doors closed and the kitchen door closed, which makes a big difference in the sound escaping.

11<sup>th</sup> paragraph, #1. Last sentence, "Kathy told us one night that she reopened them (windows) because the attendees kept running into the glass doors (that does happen occasionally), but I was clearly misunderstood because I close the doors and stand by them and open and close them as people come in and out.

 The letter continues with more of their concerns, but I didn't go there as the ones above directly related to me, but I said to the Board that the Facilities Committee was having a meeting in October and I would make sure that we discussed those further concerns in the balance of her letter.

Ming makes a statement in favor of the community sticking together and supporting each other, rather than being motivated by greed. (2:14:00 - 2:17:50) on the recording.)

Hamilton-Rivers received an email from someone about donating an oil painting to the CSD by Bay Area artist Tricia George of a scene from Little Beach, which measures 9.5 feet x 7 feet. A discussion ensues, leaving her uncertain about how she will proceed.

Mike Moore says that workers will come next week to start working on taking down trees at the Terwilliger Grove.

## Item 12: Recognitions & Board Member Items

Shaffer would like to acknowledge Hills for excellent work supervising the Sunset Way project.

#### **Item 13: Adjournment**

There being no further business to come before the board, the meeting is adjourned.

Meeting adjourned at 9:35 pm.